Case 4:22-cv-01587-JSW Document 96 Filed 07/18/23 Page 1 of 2

```
1
   Hugo Torbet
    Attorney at Law, SBN 147650
    1 Harbor Dr., Suite 300
    Sausalito, CA 94965
 3
    Telephone: (415) 986-9400
    email: steadyrolling@gmail.com
 4
    Attorney for Plaintiff
 5
    Joseph Cook (22-07645)
 6
 7
                       UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 8
 9
                     NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10
11
    SELINA KEENE, et al.,
                                        No. 22-cv-01587-JSW
12
              Plaintiffs,
                                        ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION FOR
13
                                        CLARIFICATION OF ORDER
         V.
                                        GRANTING LIMITED CONSOLIDATION
14
    CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN
    FRANCISCO, et al.,
15
                                        Docket No. 90
              Defendants.
16
17
    AND CONSOLIDATED CASES.
18
19
         1.
             The court's order provides in pertinent part that all
2.0
    written discovery be exchanged across all cases. (Docket No. 90,
21
    3:17-19.)
22
         (Some of) the parties have pressing obligations under
23
    General Order 71 to make initial disclosures. Some of the
2.4
    disclosures required by this Order are of privacy protected
25
    personnel and medical matters.
2.6
         Does the court's recent order require each of the plaintiff
27
    employees, and/or permit the City, to disclose this private
28
    information to all of the other parties?
```

Case 4:22-cv-01587-JSW Document 96 Filed 07/18/23 Page 2 of 2

1	2. On May 1, 2023, the court issued an amended case
2	management order. In this order, at Page 2, the court bifurcated
3	liability and damages. This means, apparently, that each
4	plaintiff will be subjected to two series of discovery requests,
5	the first concerning liability, and then later, another
6	concerning damages. The undersigned infers that the court
7	anticipates a summary judgment proceeding between the two series.
8	General Order 71 requires a plaintiff employee to disclose
9	substantial information related to his or her damages from a
10	wrongful termination. This includes information about
11	unemployment insurance, subsequent employment, and details about
12	other damages. (Id., pp. 2-3.)
13	Given that "damages" has been bifurcated to an unspecified
14	later date, are the plaintiff employees required at this time, to
15	comply with General Order 71 as it pertains to their damages?
16	Wherefore, Mr. Cook requests that the court clarify its
17	recent order.
18	Dated: July 18, 2023
19	Respectfully submitted,
20	s/ Hugo Torbet
21	Attorney for Joseph Cook
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	